Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Marina S. Fonari,^a* Eduard V. Ganin,^b Yurii A. Simonov^a and Wen-Jwu Wang^c

^aInstitute of Applied Physics, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Academy str. 5 MD2028, Chisinau, Moldova, ^bOdessa State Environmental University, Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, Odessa, Ukraine, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Tamkang University, 151 Ying-Chuan Road Tamsui, Taipei 25137, Taiwan

Correspondence e-mail: fonari.xray@phys.asm.md

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 153 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.123 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

cis-anti-cis-Dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6-thiacetazonemethanol (1/2/2)

In the title compound [systematic name: 2,5,8,15,18,21tricyclo[20.4.0.0^{9,14}]hexacosane–4-acetylaminobenzaldehyde thiosemicarbazone–methanol (1/2/2)], $C_{20}H_{36}O_{6}\cdot 2C_{10}H_{12}N_{4}$ -OS·2CH₃OH, the *cis-anti-cis*-dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6 molecule resides on an inversion center, and two thiacetazone molecules are linked to the macrocycle *via* N–H···O hydrogen bonds of the terminal amino group [N···O = 3.017 (2) and 3.092 (2) Å]. These units are further associated into chains *via* bridging methanol molecules acting both as an H-atom donor [*via* an O–H···S contact, with O···S = 3.182 (3) Å] and as an H-atom acceptor [*via* an N–H···O contact, with N···O = 2.848 (3) Å].

Comment

In continuation of our earlier research devoted to the interaction of macrocyclic ligands with drug molecules, we studied the system that includes the 18-membered classic crown ether, cis-anti-cis-dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6 (DCH6B) and the antimicrobial drug thiacetazone, (I). The aim of our study was to elucidate the mode of interaction of the drug molecule with the macrocycle and to compare the crystal packing of the complex with the crystal packing of the pure drug form. Previously we have shown that the primary amino group of streptocide (Dvorkin et al., 1989), diacarb (Fonari et al., 1989), hypothiazide (Dvorkin et al., 1990) and 4-aminobenzoic acid (Fonari et al., 1994) always interacts with the classic 18membered crown ethers *via* a pair of $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The mode of mutual interaction is more predictable in the case of DCH6B, as its geometry is predisposed to the generation of an inversion center within the molecule and an equal-face coordination. This is not always the case for 18crown-6, which, for example, in the complex with hypothiazide (Dvorkin *et al.*, 1990) reveals C_1 symmetry and different-face coordination of the drug molecule.



The structure of thiacetazone, (I), itself has been reported previously [Karlsen *et al.*, 1988; refcode GANGEH in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.26, plus three 2005 updates; Allen, 2002)]. In (I), the self-assembly of the molecules occurs *via* a diverse system of hydrogen bonding; the

All rights reserved

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

Received 21 December 2005 Accepted 30 January 2006





A view of the molecular structure of (II), showing the atomic labeling scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. Atoms marked with a hash (#) are in the symmetry position (2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z) [C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity].

molecules form the centrosymmetric dimer via a pair of N– H···O hydrogen bonds with the participation of the NH group of the thiourea fragment and an $R_2^2(22)$ ring is generated. These dimers are further associated into chains, again via N– H···O hydrogen bonds, with the participation of the terminal amino groups; $R_2^2(26)$ rings are generated. These chains are combined into layers via typical thiosemicarbazone centrosymmetric planar $R_2^2(8)$ synthons, based on two N–H···S hydrogen bonds. In the title compound, (II), a complete rearrangement of the hydrogen bonds occurs.

The structure of (II) is shown in Fig. 1, and selected geometric parameters are given in Table 1. The crown ether lies on an inversion center. The geometry of all the molecules forming the complex is in reasonable agreement with the precise data obtained for crown ethers and for (I) (Karlsen et al., 1988). The thiacetazone molecule adopts an almost planar conformation, all the non-H atoms being coplanar within ± 0.079 Å. The macrocycle in (II) adopts a C_i symmetric conformation with the O atoms coplanar within ± 0.15 Å. The torsion angles of the DCH6B ring are given in Table 1. The dihedral angle between the least-squares planes through all the crown O atoms and the thiacetazone molecular skeleton is 89.70 (4)°. In the 1:2 centrosymmetric unit DCH6B-thiacetazone, the components are held together via two pairs of N-H···O hydrogen bonds involving the primary amino group, with atom N4 displaced by 2.057 (2) Å from the least-



Figure 2

The centrosymmetric heterotetramer in the structure of compound (II), sustained by $N-H\cdots O$ and $O-H\cdots S$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines). Atoms marked with a hash (#) are in the symmetry position (2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z).





The crystal packing of the chains in compound (II) viewed along the *b* axis. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) and a hash (#) are at the symmetry positions (2 - x, 2 - y, -z) and (2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z), respectively [C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity].

squares plane of the DCH6B O atoms. The NH H atom of the thiourea group is blocked by the methanol molecule *via* a $N - H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond.

Two symmetry-related thiacetazone and methanol molecules form a centrosymmetric tetramer *via* a pair of N– $H \cdots O$ and a pair of O– $H \cdots S$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). Thus, the methanol molecule acts as a single donor and a single acceptor, and an approximate regular rectangle is formed with the short axis between the planar fragments equal to 3.53 Å, and a long axis of *ca* 12.1 Å. The alternation of these tetramers and macrocyclic molecules forms corrugated chains packing along [100] in the unit cell (Fig. 3).

In conclusion, we underline again the complete rearrangement of the hydrogen bonding in complex (II) compared with that in the crystal structure of the pure drug, compound (I); neither of the supramolecular synthons remains in the ternary complex, (II), discussed above.

Experimental

A solution of DCH6B (0.372 g, 1 mmol) and thiacetazone (0.236 g, 1 mmol) in methanol (25 ml), and ethyl acetate (25 ml), was stored for 3–4 d at 293–298 K in an open flask. Colorless transparent crystals of (II) separated out in a yield of 81% (0.370 g). Compound (II) is soluble in methanol, ethanol and acetone [m.p. > 513 K (decom-

position)]. ¹NMR (DMSO-d6, 300 MHz): 2.04 (*s*, 6H, CH₃-thiacetazone), 1.22–1.71 (*m*) and 3.55 (*m*, 36H, B-isomer DCH-6), 7.58 (*d*) and 7.69 (*d*, 8H, CH-thiacetazone), 7.97, 10.05 and 11.31 (*s*, 8H, HN). Analysis calculated for $C_{42}H_{68}N_8O_{10}S_2$: C 55.48, H 7.54, N 12.33, S 7.05%; found: C 55.53, H 7.51, N 12.37, S 7.09%.

Z = 1

 $D_{\rm r} = 1.211 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.42 \times 0.26 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 4.4 - 12.5^{\circ}$

 $\mu=0.17~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 153 (2) K

Prism, colorless

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ$

 $h = -1 \rightarrow 11$

 $k=-11\rightarrow 10$

 $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

3 standard reflections

every 97 reflections

intensity decay: none

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{20}H_{36}O_{6}{\cdot}2C_{10}H_{12}N_{4}OS{\cdot}2CH_{4}O\\ M_{r}=909.16\\ \text{Triclinic, }P\overline{1}\\ a=9.6712\ (18)\ \text{\AA}\\ b=9.9236\ (18)\ \text{\AA}\\ c=14.849\ (4)\ \text{\AA}\\ a=93.17\ (3)^{\circ}\\ \beta=91.38\ (2)^{\circ}\\ \gamma=118.649\ (7)^{\circ}\\ V=1246.7\ (5)\ \text{\AA}^{3} \end{array}$

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer $2\theta/\omega$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (XSCANS; Siemens, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.928$, $T_{max} = 0.967$ 4658 measured reflections 4371 independent reflections 3488 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.058P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	+ 0.2974P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.123$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4371 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
302 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-C20	1.7034 (18)	C11-C12	1.509 (3)
O4-C12	1.214 (2)	C13-C18	1.384 (3)
O5-C21	1.310 (4)	C13-C14	1.386 (3)
N1-C12	1.358 (2)	C14-C15	1.380 (3)
N1-C13	1.409 (2)	C15-C16	1.387 (3)
N2-C19	1.262 (3)	C16-C17	1.388 (3)
N2-N3	1.384 (2)	C16-C19	1.464 (3)
N3-C20	1.339 (2)	C17-C18	1.376 (3)
N4-C20	1.313 (3)		
C12-N1-C13	128.89 (17)	C14-C13-N1	115.93 (17)
C19-N2-N3	115.99 (17)	C15-C14-C13	120.16 (19)
C20-N3-N2	119.86 (17)	C14-C15-C16	121.4 (2)
O4-C12-N1	123.72 (18)	C15-C16-C17	117.58 (18)
O4-C12-C11	122.43 (18)	C18-C17-C16	121.50 (17)
N1-C12-C11	113.83 (19)	C17-C18-C13	120.34 (16)
C18-C13-C14	118.96 (17)	N2-C19-C16	122.59 (19)
C18-C13-N1	125.11 (17)	N4-C20-N3	117.77 (17)
C1-C6-O1-C7	-160.6(2)	O2-C9-C10-O3	-65.4(2)
C6-O1-C7-C8	-177.5(2)	C9-C10-O3-C1 ⁱ	112.0 (2)
O1-C7-C8-O2	-70.1(2)	$C10 - O3 - C1^{i} - C6^{i}$	140.9 (2)
C7-C8-O2-C9	-171.5 (2)	O3-C1 ⁱ -C6 ⁱ -O1 ⁱ	96.9 (2)
C8-O2-C9-C10	-177.8(2)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$05-H10\cdots S1^{i}$	0.89 (2)	2.30 (2)	3.182 (3)	174 (4)
$N1 - H1A \cdots O5$	0.85 (2)	2.01(2)	2.848 (3)	169 (2)
$N3-H3A\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.84(2)	2.15(2)	2.980 (2)	167 (2)
N4-H4A···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86 (3)	2.38 (2)	3.092 (2)	140(2)
$N4 - H4B \cdots O2$	0.86 (2)	2.16 (2)	3.017 (2)	175 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z.

C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H distances of 0.95-1.00 Å, and were treated using a riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for all H atoms except methyl H atoms, for which $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$. NH and OH H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and allowed to refine isotropically, with the O–H distance restrained to 0.86 (3) Å.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Award No. MRDA-008: BGP-III award MOC2-3063-CS-03 of the Moldovan Research and Development Association (MRDA) and the US Civilian Research and Development Foundation (CRDF). EVG and WJW are indebted to the National Science Council of Taiwan 95-2811-M-032 project for financial support.

References

- Allen, F. N. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Dvorkin, A. A., Fonari, M. S., Malinowskii, S. T., Ganin, E. V., Simonov, Yu. A., Makarov, V. F., Kotlyar, S. A. & Lukyanenko, N. G. (1989). *Russ. J. Struct. Chem.* 30, 96–101.
- Dvorkin, A. A., Simonov, Yu. A., Lipkowski, J., Fonari, M. S., Malinowskii, T. I., Ganin, E. V. & Kotlyar, S. A. (1990). *Russ. Crystallogr. Rep.* 35, 682– 686.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Fonari, M. S., Dvorkin, A. A., Ganin, E. V., Simonov, Yu. A., Kotlyar, S. A .& Malinowskii, T. I. (1989). *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.* 59, 2302–2307.
- Fonari, M. S., Simonov, Y. A., Lipkowski, J., Dvorkin, A. A. & Ganin, E. V. (1994). Supramol. Chem. 4, 43–52.
- Karlsen, J., Mostad, A., Romming, C. & Tonnesen, H. H. (1988). Acta Chem. Scand. A, 42, 27–31.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Version 2.21. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.